

Deliverable Consolidated Evaluation Template (DCET)

WP4 Quality Control and Monitoring

Training Evaluation Results vol5

Project Acronym:	ReCap4.0
Project full title:	Reinforcing Non-University Sector at the Tertiary Level in Engineering and Technology to Support Thailand Sustainable Smart Industry
Project No.:	619325-EPP-1-2020-1-TH-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP
Work Package N° and title	WP4
WP Leader	Andrei Szuder
Deliverable (Task) N°/Title	WP4-4.2 Internal quality control and monitoring
Author responsible for the Deliverable	Danaipong Chetchotsak
Date of Deliverable submission	
Status:	V1
Dissemination Level:	Internal

Revision Sheet

Version	Date	Author (Partner/Person)	The revision reason

Assessment of Deliverable

Adequacy with the format

Mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes - N: No - NA: Not applicable)

Format	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document meet the commitments from Application Form? (<i>answer with Y/ N only</i>)	P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7,P8,			
Does the document contain: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7,P8			
Does the document contain all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7,P8			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7,P8			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7,P8			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7,P8			
Does the spelling, grammar etc. of the document is appropriate?	P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7,P8			

Quality evaluation

The following scores will be utilized in delivery review; 1-Poor;2-Average;3-Satisfactory;4-Good;5-Very Good

Mark with X the appropriate column:

Question	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	Total	Score	Comments
How deliverable comply with the WP objectives as specified in the WP description?	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5	
How deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5	
The clarity of the contents of the document is evaluated as...	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5	
The quality of the contents of the document is evaluated as	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5	Some minor changes are requested. See the observations below.
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	P1:No. P2:No P3: No. P4:No. P5:No. P6:No. P7:No. P8:No.										

Specify which ones	
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)? Specify which ones	P1:No. P2:No. P3:No. P4:No. P5:No. P6:No. P7:No. P8:No.

Observations/ suggestions (add rows as needed)

Partner	Page No.	Section	Observations / Suggested Improvement
P1	4	1 – Paragraph 1	Once each of the training events had been concluded
	4	1 – Paragraph 1	Along, not “a long”
	4	1 – Paragraph 2	declared that their knowledge and experience for the corresponding trainings have been improved
	4	2	there was one training event from
	4	2	It also provided suggestions for risk management
	6	4.2 – Paragraph 2	Statement d) asked if the...
P2	4		Table 1 Training events evaluated during June-January 2023 ?
P6	4	1 (paragraph 1)	In the sentence “Here, the participants were asked to give ratings....”, change “a long” to “along”.
	4	1 (paragraph 1)	Remove “then” from the beginning of the sentence “Then the average satisfaction scored for each training were then computed” to avoid repetition of the word “then” in the sentence.
	4	1 (paragraph 2)	Change “correspond” to “corresponding”.
	4	2 (paragraph 1)	In the second sentence change “there were one training event” to “there was one training event”.
	4	2 (paragraph 1)	Please consider if the last sentence of this paragraph should read: “It also provided suggestions for risk management for the project”. “Suggestion” is changed to the plural “suggestions” and “as well” is removed to avoid repetition of meaning as “also” is already used.
	10	4.2	In the following sentences, change “was” to “were”: “Most of the common comments was that the participants...”. “In terms of recommendation from the participants, most of the common comments was that the time was too short.” “In most cases, all the recommendation was positive.” Also consider changing “recommendation” to the plural “recommendations”.

Reviewers Assessment

(Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted; no changes required	P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7,P8
Document accepted but changes required	
Document not accepted; it must be reviewed after changes are implemented	
Date of Review ⁴	Apr 1, 2023
Reviewer's Name & Organization (from QCMB)	Danaipong Chetchotsak (KKU)

QCMB Chair Consolidated Assessment

Document accepted; no changes required	
Document accepted but changes required	
Document not accepted; it must be reviewed after changes are implemented	
Suggestions for improvement (if applicable)	
Date of Quality assurance performed	
Deadline for submission of amended version of deliverable (if applicable)	

PEC Approval

Document accepted; no changes required	
Document accepted but changes required	
Document not accepted; it must be reviewed after changes are implemented	
Suggestions for improvement (if applicable)	
Date of Quality assurance performed	
Deadline for submission of amended version of deliverable (if applicable)	