**WP2– Sustainable Development of Industry 4.0 Competence Development Training Program**

**Task 2.1 Designing an Industry 4.0 Competence Development Training Program/ M.2 Communications and People Skills Development**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Acronym:** | ReCap4.0 |
| **Project full title:** | Reinforcing Non-University Sector at the Tertiary Level in Engineering and Technology to Support Thailand Sustainable Smart Industry |
| **Project No.:** | 619325-EPP-1-2020-1-TH-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP |
| **Work Package N° and title** | |  | | --- | | WP2-Task 2.1 Designing an Industry 4.0 Competence Development Training Program | |
| **WP Leader** | Pisut Koomsap (AIT) and Cathal de Paor (MIC) |
| **Deliverable (Task) N°/Title** | |  | | --- | | Task 2.1 Designing an Industry 4.0 Competence Development Training Program/ M.2 Communications and People Skills Development | |
| **Author responsible for the Deliverable** | Pisut Koomsap (AIT) |
| **Date of Deliverable submission** | 20.01.2022 |
| **Status:** | Final |
| **Dissemination Level:** | Internal |

**Revision Sheet**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Date** | **Author (Partner/Person)** | **The revision reason** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**5Assessment of Deliverables**

**Adequacy with the format**

Mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes - N: No - NA: Not applicable)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Format** | **Y** | **N** | **NA** | **Comments** |
| **Does the document meet the commitments from Application Form? *(answer with Y/ N only)*** | X [P3; P5; P7] |  | X [P6; P1; P2; P4; P6; P8] |  |
| **Does the document contain:**  **WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?** | X [P3; P6] |  | X [P1; P2; P4; P5; P7; P8] |  |
| **Does the document contain all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?** | X |  |  |  |
| **Does the document include a Table of Contents?** | X [P1; P2] |  | X [P3; P4; P5; P6; P7; P8] |  |
| **Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?** |  |  | X |  |
| **Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?** | X [P3; P5] |  | X [P1; P2; P4; P6; P7; P8] |  |
| **Does the spelling, grammar etc. of the document is appropriate?** | X [P1; P3; P4; P5; P6; P7; P8] |  | X [P2] |  |

**Quality evaluation**

The following scores will be utilized in the delivery review; 1-Poor;2-Average;3-Satisfactory;4-Good;5-Very Good

Mark with X the appropriate column:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** | **P4** | **P5** | **P6** | **P7** | **P8** | **Total** | **Score** | **Comments** |
| **How deliverable comply with the WP objectives as specified in the WP description?** | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 39 | 4,875 | Good. |
| **How deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?** | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 38 | 4,75 | Good. |
| **The clarity of the contents of the document is evaluated as…** | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 37 | 4,625 | Good. [p1] - D3 should be spitted into sessions (by inserting some slides with session titles) to make it clear |
| **How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?** | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 38 | 4,75 | Good. |
| **The quality of the contents of the document is evaluated as** | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 38 | 4,75 | Good. [P5]- Add Rubrics for Competency Assessment |
| **Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)? Specify which ones** | [P2] I think, we must make the different header of each type of document form such as course syllabus and Activity plan  No | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?**  **Specify which ones** | No | | | | | | | | | | |

**Observations/ suggestions** (add rows as needed)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Partner** | **Deliverable/Page No.** | **Section** | **Observations / Suggested Improvement** |
| P1 | M2/D3 |  | D3 should be spitted into sessions (by inserting some slides with session titles) to make it clear. It is better to number the slides |
| P3 | D3 | Every page | All slides must have a page number. |
| P5 | D3 | Every page | For consistency, punctuation, e.g., “.”, “?”, “,” must be use must be used properly and consistently for each of the sentence. |
| P3 | D3/pg9 |  | Picture is a little blurry |
| P3 | D3/pg53 |  | Picture is a little blurry |
| P3 | D3/pg. 88 |  | Adjust the size of text-box properly so that the text size and margin will be consistent. |
| P3 | D3/ pg129 |  | The text-box overlays on the text “What to do…”. Please adjust the position of the text-box properly. |
| P3 | D3/pg 144 |  | The picture is a little blurry.­ |
| P4 | D3 |  | slide number should be applied for every page. It will be useful when someone want to refer to a particular page. |
| P5 | D1 | Learning Outcomes | How to evaluate Outcome 2? The word “Show” may be difficult to evaluate. |
| P5 | D4 | I, II | The sentences are in grey. What do they mean? |
| P5 | D4 | II | MLO3: “Explain their works…”. This should be Understanding Level, not Applying Level. |
| P5 | D4 | III, IV | The Assessment must consist of Rubrics. |
| P8 | D3/n.a. | - | Eventually, a slide with the list of the major topics (agenda) could be included at the beginning of D3 (set of 180 slides). This will help attendees to see the “big picture”. |
| P8 | D3/n.a. | - | Slides are not numbered. Eventually, its inclusion would help if someone needs to refer to a particular slide. |

**Reviewers Assessment**

(Mark with X the appropriate line)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Document accepted; no changes required** | **X [P2; P6; P7]** |
| **Document accepted but changes required** | **X [P1; P3; P4; P5; P8]** |
| **Document not accepted; it must be reviewed after changes are implemented** |  |
| **Date of Review** |  |
| **Reviewer’s Name & Organization (from QCMB)** |  |

**QCMB Chair Consolidated Assessment**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Document accepted; no changes required** |  |
| **Document accepted but changes required** | **X** |
| **Document not accepted; it must be reviewed after changes are implemented** |  |
| **Suggestions for improvement (if applicable)** | **Presented above in Observations/ Suggestions** |
| **Date of Quality assurance performed** | **01.02.2022** |
| **Deadline for submission of amended version of deliverable (if applicable)** |  |

**PEC Approval**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Document accepted; no changes required** |  |
| **Document accepted but changes required** |  |
| **Document not accepted; it must be reviewed after changes are implemented** |  |
| **Suggestions for improvement (if applicable)** |  |
| **Date of Quality assurance performed** |  |
| **Deadline for submission of amended version of deliverable (if applicable)** |  |